BEFORE THE WEST VIRGINIA STATE BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

IN RE: META ENGINEERS, P.C. C2014-06

CONSENT ORDER

Comes now the West Virginia State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers by Edward L. Robinson, P.E., its President, (hereinafter "the Board") for the purpose of agreeing to resolve a Complaint seeking disciplinary action against Meta Engineers (hereinafter "Respondent Firm"). As reflected in this document, the parties have reached an agreement concerning the proper disposition of this matter, and the Board, upon approval of such agreement, does hereby **FIND** the following:

- The matters set forth herein are within the jurisdiction of the Board, which is the state
 entity with the power and duty to regulate the practice of engineering in the State of West
 Virginia.
- 2. Respondent Firm is organized under the laws of the State of Virginia, with its principal place of business in Arlington.
- 3. On or about July 15, 2013, an employee of Respondent Firm applied for a license to practice professional engineering in West Virginia, which was issued in August 2013.
- 4. Thereafter, Respondent Firm applied for and, on August 22, 2013, was issued a

 Certification of Authorization (COA # C04767) authorizing Respondent Firm to practice
 and offer to practice engineering.
- 5. During the course of the applications, agents of Respondent Firm disclosed that, prior to issuance of the required COA, it had offered to provide engineering services for an architectural firm designing a new U.S. Coast Guard facility in West Virginia.
- 6. The agreement documenting the offer was signed by the architect on July 26, 2013, and by Respondent Firm on August 7, 2013.

- 7. The Board initiated complaint number C2014-06 at its regular meeting on September 17, 2013, which was served on October 8, 2013.
- 8. Respondent Firm, by one of its principals, Paul MacDonald, promptly notified the Board and initiated settlement negotiations rather than filing a response to the Complaint.
- 9. Respondent Firm admits it offered to practice engineering prior to this Board's issuance of the required COA.
- 10. The Board has taken into account the following factors in reaching this settlement: Respondent Firm has no history of previous violations, self-reported the circumstances which gave rise to this complaint, promptly took steps to legally practice engineering in West Virginia and was in good standing at the time any engineering services were actually rendered, and cooperated with the Board in the investigation and resolution of this Complaint.
- 11. The Board, in its discretion, will not assess administrative costs although it is within its power to assess such costs under West Virginia Code § 30-13-21(d) (4) and West Virginia Code R. § 7-1-14.4.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 12. The Board is the state entity with the power and duty to regulate the practice of engineering in the State of West Virginia, and matters related to such practice are within the jurisdiction of the Board. W. Va. Code § 30-13-1 et seq.
- 13. Paul MacDonald, as a principal with Respondent Firm, has the power and authority to make admissions and otherwise act on behalf of Respondent Firm.
- 14. West Virginia engineering law allows a firm to practice or offer to practice engineering only upon the issuance of a certificate of authorization by the Board. W. Va. Code § 30-13-17.

- 15. The Board is authorized to "assess civil penalties against any person who violates any provision of this article or any rule promulgated by the board for each offense in an amount determined by the board." W. Va. Code § 30-13-21(b); see also W. Va. Code § 30-13-21(d)(4).
- Practicing or offering to practice engineering, as defined by W. Va. § 30-13-1 et seq., without a valid Certificate of Authorization is an action that may subject a firm to discipline by the Board, including a civil penalty up to Five Thousand Dollars (\$5000.00).

 W. Va. Code R. § 7-1-15.1.
- 17. In determining the amount of a civil penalty to be assessed, the Board may consider such factors as:
 - (a) Whether the amount imposed will be a substantial economic deterrent to the violation:
 - (b) The circumstances leading to the violation;
 - (c) The nature and severity of the violation and the risk of harm to the public;
 - (d) The history of previous violations;
 - (e) The extent to which the cited person or firm has cooperated with the Board and the Board's investigation;
 - (f) The economic benefits gained by the violator as a result of the noncompliance;
 - (g) The interest of the public; and
 - (h) Other matters as may be appropriate.
 - W. Va. Code R. § 7-1-15.4.
- 18. The assessment of administrative costs is within the Board's sole discretion. W. Va. Code § 30-13-21(d) (4) and W. Va. Code R § 7-1-14.4.

CONSENT OF RESPONDENT FIRM

Paul MacDonald, a principal in Meta Engineers, P.C., by affixing his signature hereon, agrees to the following:

19. Respondent Firm is aware of its right to be represented by counsel and to pursue this matter through appropriate administrative and/or court procedures, including its right to a formal hearing before the Board, and Respondent Firm intelligently and voluntarily

- waives these rights provided the Board accepts the terms and conditions set forth in this Consent Order.
- 20. Respondent Firm admits that it did not have a Certificate of Authorization to practice or offer to practice engineering in the State of West Virginia prior to August 22, 2013, but did offer engineering services before that date.
- 21. Respondent Firm accepts the findings set forth above and consents to the entry of this Consent Order freely and voluntarily and not under duress, restraint or compulsion.
- 22. Respondent Firm acknowledges that entering into the negotiation of this Consent Order constitutes a waiver of any and all objections regarding the timeliness of Board action on Complaint Number C2014-06. This paragraph is binding upon Respondent Firm even in the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Order.
- 23. Respondent Firm acknowledges that this Consent Order is being executed for the purpose of avoiding further administrative action with respect to the above-styled matter. In this regard, Respondent Firm authorizes the Board to review and examine all investigative file materials concerning Respondent Firm's activities in West Virginia in consideration of this Consent Order.
- 24. Should the Board not approve this Consent Order, Respondent Firm waives any objections to the Board or any of its members participating in the adjudication of this matter. This paragraph is binding on Respondent Firm even if the Board does not approve this Consent Order.
- 25. Respondent Firm acknowledges that the Board may reject this proposal, notice and hold a hearing, and, upon adjudication, impose such sanctions of a disciplinary nature as it deems appropriate.
- 26. Respondent Firm understands that this Consent Order constitutes a full and final settlement of this matter, and nothing in this Consent Order or the circumstances giving rise to the same may be the subject of any appeal or other civil or administrative actions

- except an action by the Board to enforce the terms of this Consent Order, but that nothing in this Consent Order prohibits the Board from considering this disciplinary action or the circumstances giving rise to same with regard to any future disciplinary matter involving Respondent Firm which may be before this Board.
- 27. Respondent Firm understands that the complaint and this Consent Order are public records which must be made available upon legal request in accordance with the state's Freedom of Information Act.
- 28. Respondent Firm agrees that the sum and substance of the Complaint and this agreement in part or in their entirety will be set forth in Board publications and on the Board website, as well as other appropriate placements, including the non-public enforcement exchange database administered by the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying (NCEES).
- 29. Respondent Firm acknowledges that non-compliance with this Consent Order may result in the rescission of this agreement, the reinstatement of the Complaint, the summary revocation of any certification issued to Respondent Firm by the Board, and the addition of any other charges which may arise or ensue from Respondent Firm's non-compliance with this Consent Order.
- 30. Respondent acknowledges that proof of any material misstatement or misrepresentation made in connection with this matter will result in the rescission of this agreement, the reinstatement of the Complaint, the summary revocation of any certification issued to Respondent Firm by the Board, and the addition of any other charges which may arise or ensue from providing false information to the Board in violation of West Virginia engineering law.

ORDER

- On the basis of the foregoing, and upon entry of this Consent Order, the Board hereby

 ORDERS that this Consent Order shall serve as settlement of Complaint number C201406 pursuant to West Virginia Code § 30-13-22(b).
- 2. The Board **ORDERS** Respondent Firm to pay a civil penalty in the amount of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250.00) for offering to practice engineering in West Virginia without a certificate of authorization.
- 3. The civil penalty of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250.00) shall be paid within thirty (30) days of the date of entry set forth below, such amount to be made payable to the W. Va. P.E. Board, and shall be transferred to the general fund of the State of West Virginia upon receipt.
- 4. The sum and substance of the Complaint and this agreement in part or in their entirety shall be set forth in Board publications and on the Board website, as well as other appropriate placements, including the non-public enforcement exchange database administered by the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying (NCEES).
- 5. Any violation of the terms of this Consent Order by Respondent Firm shall be immediate cause for further disciplinary action by the Board.
- 6. If the civil penalty imposed herein is not timely paid, this Consent Order may be summarily enforced in the Circuit Court of Kanawha County without further notice to Respondent Firm upon application by the Board for the entry of a Judgment Order for the total amount of outstanding balance of the amount agreed to herein (\$250.00), together with pre-judgment interest from the date of the President's signature hereon, post-judgment interest from the date of entry of the Judgment Order and all costs of any enforcement action(s), which judgment shall be fully executable in accordance with applicable law.

- 7. This matter shall be closed upon execution of this Consent Order by both parties and the full payment of the civil penalty agreed to herein.
- 8. This Consent Order constitutes a full and final settlement of this matter, and nothing in this Consent Order or the circumstances giving rise to same may be the subject of any appeal or other civil or administrative actions except an action by the Board to enforce the terms of this Consent Order. This shall not prohibit the Board from considering this disciplinary action or the circumstances giving rise to same with regard to any future disciplinary matter involving Respondent Firm which may come before this Board.
- 9. This Consent Order relates solely to matters set forth in West Virginia engineering law and does not evidence compliance with any other laws of the State of West Virginia or its political subdivisions, nor should any such compliance be implied.

AGREED TO BY:	
$()$ Ω	
rull soll	11-10-13
PAUL MacDONALD, Principal	DATE
META ENGINEEDS DC	

WEST VIRGINIA STATE BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

By:

EDWARD L. ROBINSON, P.E.

BOARD PRESIDENT